UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/939,206	08/24/2001	James M. Gill	018360/291659	3572
826 7590 09/10/2009 ALSTON & BIRD LLP			EXAM	INER
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000 CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000		PLUCINSKI, JAMISUE A		
		E 4000	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/10/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

1	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
2	
3	
4	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
5	AND INTERFERENCES
6	
7	
8	Ex parte JAMES M. GILL,
9	ANTONY A. AWAIDA,
10	and
11	IAN J.H. WILLIAMS
12	
13	
14	Appeal 2009-001124
15	Application 09/939,206
16	Technology Center 3600
17	
18	
19	Decided: September 10, 2009
20	
21	
22	
23	Before MURRIEL E. CRAWFORD, HUBERT C. LORIN, and
24	JOSEPH A. FISCHETTI, Administrative Patent Judges.
25	
26	CRAWFORD, Administrative Patent Judge.
27	
28	
29	DECISION ON APPEAL
30	
31	STATEMENT OF THE CASE

1	Appellants appeal under 3	35 U.S.C. § 134 (2002)	from a final rejection
2	of claims 46-83. We have juriso	diction under 35 U.S.C	. § 6(b) (2002).
3	Appellants invented syste	ms and methods for es	timating landed costs
4	for domestic and internationally	shipped goods (Spec.	[0002]).
5	Claim 46 under appeal is	further illustrative of th	ne claimed invention
6	as follows:		
7 8	46. A syst freight shipment, co	em for processing data omprising:	associated with a
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of rate sheets where rates and each rate freight carriers and of a plurality of for receiving and storing	nput module capable of ein each rate sheet spec sheet is associated with is respectively structured mats, the rate sheet inputed a rate sheet associate carriers and structured mats;	cifies published freight n one of a plurality of red according to one out module further red with the one of a
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	template storage me wherein one of the specific freight care the plurality of form analyzer module se by matching the on associated with the	nalyzer module adapte odule storing a pluralit plurality of templates irier and is structured act nats to interpret the rat lecting the one of the plurality of fre rate sheet with the spe one of the plurality of	y of templates, associated with a coording to said one of e sheet, the rate sheet plurality of templates ight carriers cific freight carrier
26 27 28	associated with the	tion module determining the freight carrier using the sand the rate sheet.	
29	The prior art relied upon l	by the Examiner in reje	ecting the claims on
30	appeal is:		
31 32 33	Kulik Danford-Klein Mattioli, Jr. (Mattioli)	US 5,661,653 US 6,061,667 US 6,286,009	Aug. 26, 1997 May 9, 2000 Sep. 4, 2001

1	The Examiner rejected claims 46, 47, 49-61, 63-73, and 75-83 under
2	35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kulik in view of Danford-
3	Klein; and rejected claims 48, 62, and 74 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being
4	unpatentable over Kulik in view of Danford-Klein and Mattioli.
5	We REVERSE.
6	
7	ISSUE
8	Did the Appellants show the Examiner erred in finding that a
9	combination of Kulik and Danford-Klein renders obvious a carrier-specific
10	template structured according to one of a plurality of formats to interpret the
11	carrier-specific rate sheet, as recited in independent claims 46, 60, and 72,
12	because Kulik only discloses templates being applied to a single carrier's
13	rate sheet?
14	
15	FINDINGS OF FACT
16	Specification
17	Appellants invented systems and methods for estimating landed costs
18	for domestic and internationally shipped goods (Spec. [0002]).
19	
20	Kulik
21	Kulik discloses a software controlled mail processor wherein a user
22	can define a custom template, specifying classes and break point parameters
23	for controlling between classes (col. 4, 11. 48-51).
24	Rates manager submodule 25 stores the published standard rate tables
25	corresponding to the postage rates for all classes of mail, as published by the

1	postal authority, as well as a processing engine to provide appropriate access
2	to the data in the tables (col. 5, 11. 29-36).
3	The user defined template specifies a parameter range for which each
4	selected class processing should apply. For each of the templates 33, once
5	input and stored, the custom rates processor 31 utilizes the template to
6	develop a corresponding custom rates rate table 35 (step S2). More
7	specifically, the custom rates processor 31 interrogates the rates manager 25
8	to obtain break point and postage values for each selected class and range
9	specified in the custom template. From this template, the custom rates
10	processor develops and stores one of the custom rates rate tables 35 (step S3)
11	(col. 6, 11. 30-40).
12	
13	Danford-Klein
14	Danford-Klein discloses creating complex rating engines for
15	particular carrier contracts in order to increase the speed for calculating the
16	cost of linehaul service in response to a rating request (col. 3, 11. 29-43).
17	
18	PRINCIPLES OF LAW
19	Obviousness
20	During examination, the examiner bears the initial burden of
21	establishing a prima facie case of obviousness. In re Oetiker, 977 F.2d
22	1443, 1445 (Fed. Cir. 1992).
23	
24	ANALYSIS
25	We are persuaded of error on the part of the Examiner by Appellants'
26	argument that because Kulik only discloses templates being applied to a

1	single carrier's rate sheet, a combination of Kulik and Danford-Klein does
2	not render obvious a carrier-specific template structured according to one of
3	a plurality of formats to interpret the carrier-specific rate sheet, as recited in
4	independent claims 46, 60, and 72 (App. Br. 9-12). Kulik discloses that
5	custom templates 33 are applied to the published standard rate tables to
6	produce custom rates rate tables 35. The Examiner asserts that different
7	custom templates 33 can be carrier specific because Danford-Klein discloses
8	rating engines for particular carrier contracts, and the rating engines
9	correspond to custom templates 33.
10	The Examiner asserts that custom rates rate tables 35 of Kulik
11	correspond to the recited carrier-specific rate sheets (Ex. Ans. 7). However,
12	custom templates 33 are applied to the published standard rate tables to
13	create custom rates rate tables 35 in Kulik. By contrast, the claims recite
14	that the custom templates are applied to the carrier-specific rate sheet. In
15	other words, custom templates 33 would need to be applied to custom rates
16	rate table 35 in Kulik in order to correspond to the subject matter of
17	independent claims 46, 60, and 72. Kulik does not disclose this.
18	Instead, the most logical application of the recited subject matter to
19	Kulik is that the published standard rate tables of Kulik correspond to the
20	recited rate sheet. However, this also does not satisfy the recitations of
21	independent claims 46, 60, and 72, because custom templates 33 are all
22	applied to the same published standard rate tables, and not the recited
23	carrier-specific rate sheets. Indeed, Kulik only discloses one set of tables
24	for one carrier. Accordingly, in the absence of any showing by the

Appeal 2009-001124 Application 09/939,206

1	Examiner as to how the published standard rate tables of Kulik may be
2	multiple standard rate tables, each of which are carrier-specific, we cannot
3	sustain this rejection. See In re Oetiker, 977 F.2d at 1445.
4	By virtue of their dependence from independent claims 46, 60, and 72,
5	we also do not sustain the rejections of dependent claims 47-59, 61-71, and
6	73-83.
7	
8	CONCLUSION OF LAW
9	On the record before us, Appellants have shown that the Examiner
10	erred in rejecting claims 46-83.
11	
12	DECISION
13	The decision of the Examiner to reject claims 46-83 is reversed.
14	
15	REVERSED
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	hh
21	
22	ALSTON & BIRD LLP
23 24	BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000
25	CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000